

Synchronization in one-dimensional array of Josephson coupled thin layers

Dmitry A. Ryndyk

Institute for Physics of Microstructures, Russian Academy of Sciences

46 Ulyanov Str., GSP-105, Nizhny Novgorod 603600 Russia

E-mail: ryn@ipm.sci-nnov.ru

Abstract

We obtain self-consistent macroscopic equations describing interlayer Josephson effect and intralayer disequilibrium in one-dimensional array of Josephson coupled layers. We show that “nonequilibrium coupling” can lead to effective spatial and time synchronization and formation of coherent dynamic resistive state (collective Josephson effect) in Nb-AlO_x-Nb stacked junctions and HTSC (intrinsic Josephson effect). We propose it to be the origin of collective switching phenomena observed [3] in PbBiSrCaCuO.

It is well known that dc and ac Josephson effects take place in layered superconductors when external current flows in direction perpendicular to the layers. Two types of layered structures are currently experimentally investigated: artificial Nb-AlO_x-Nb stacked junctions [1] and natural layered high-T_c superconductors [2]. These systems show similar dynamic behavior [3] and can be considered on common background. Electromagnetic theory of layered structures with thick enough superconducting layers is developed in Ref. [4], but in a case of thin layers some other effects are to be considered, especially disequilibrium which can be essential if layer thickness d_0 is smaller than characteristic length of disequilibrium relaxation l_E [5–8]. This criteria is obviously fulfilled for “quantum” structures with layers of atomic thickness (HTSC). For “classical” artificial structures

$$l_E = \sqrt{\frac{2DT}{\pi\Delta_\epsilon^2}} (1 + 4\Delta_\epsilon^2 t_\epsilon^2)^{1/4}. \quad (1)$$

Here t_ϵ is the inelastic electron-phonon scattering time, $D = lv_F/3$ is the electron diffusion coefficient, $\Delta_\epsilon = (8\pi^2 T_c^2 \tau)/(7\zeta(3))$ is the energy gap, l is the free pass, v_F is the Fermi velocity, $\tau = (T_c - T)/T_c$. Thus $d_0 < l_E$ can be fulfilled at least for $\tau \ll 1$. Last experiments [3] manifest nonequilibrium effects in layered structures.

The origin of nonequilibrium Josephson effect is well established [5–8]. First of all Josephson junction is a source of disequilibrium in nonstationary state due to injection of quasiparticles. This results in generation of nonzero covariant potential $\Phi = \phi + (\hbar/2e)(\partial\theta/\partial t)$ in superconducting layers, where ϕ is the electrostatic potential and θ is the phase of superconducting condensate ($\Phi = 0$ in equilibrium state). In nonequilibrium regime an ordinary Josephson relation $(d\varphi/dt) = (2e/\hbar)V$ between Josephson phase difference $\varphi = \theta_2 - \theta_1$ and voltage $V = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ is violated. Instead we have

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{2e}{\hbar}V + \frac{2e}{\hbar}(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1). \quad (2)$$

Thus disequilibrium modifies interlayer Josephson effect and self-consistent description is necessary [7,8].

The simplest way to obtain self-consistent equations is Ginzburg-Landau approach. In this paper we consider thin layer limit $d_0 \ll l_E, \lambda_L$ and temperatures close to T_c , thus the discrete order parameter $\Psi_n(x, y)$ for n -th layer can be used in a case of weak disequilibrium ($|\Psi_n| \approx \text{const}$). Static equations of Ginzburg-Landau type for Ψ_n are obtained by Lowrence and Doniach [9] (see also Ref. [10–13]). Here we use dynamic generalization of their model in one-dimensional case $\Psi_n(x, y, t) = \Psi_n(t)$ (three dimensional variant can be derived straightforward). Thus we consider the structure with spatial dimensions less than characteristic magnetic length and without external magnetic field, so that Josephson junctions are effectively zero-dimensional. One-dimensional state is also possible in larger systems but stability analysis is necessary. Interlayer interaction is assumed to be small (only two neighbor layers interact).

Model time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation of Lowrence-Doniach form may be obtained from relaxation principle

$$\gamma(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2ie\phi_n)\Psi_n = -\frac{\delta F\{\Psi_n\}}{\delta \Psi_n^*}. \quad (3)$$

Here we introduce electrostatic potential of n-th layer ϕ_n . Free energy $F\{\Psi_n\}$ is (we can choose the gauge $\mathbf{A}=0$ in one-dimensional case)

$$F\{\Psi_n\} = d \sum_n \int dx dy \left[-\alpha\tau |\Psi_n|^2 + \frac{b}{2} |\Psi_n|^4 + \alpha r |\Psi_{n+1} - \Psi_n|^2 \right], \quad (4)$$

where α and b are GL constants, d is in general some dimensional parameter depending on α and b definition and coinciding as a rule with spatial period of a structure ($d \approx d_0$ for “classical” S-I-S structures and interlayer distance for “quantum”). r is dimensionless parameter of interlayer interaction. The microscopic expression for r is rather distinct in “quantum” and “classical” cases. But from phenomenological point of view it is more appropriate to express r from equation (9) used below

$$r = \frac{8\pi e \lambda_{0\parallel}^2 \xi_{0\parallel}^2 J_0}{\hbar c^2 d}, \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda_{0\parallel}$ and $\xi_{0\parallel}$ are parallel to the layers London and coherence lengths at zero temperature and $J_0 = (4e\alpha^2 dr)/(\hbar b)$ is Josephson critical current density at zero temperature. In both cases if $r \ll 1$ then only two neighbor layers interact.

From (3),(4) we obtain equation for dimensionless order parameter $\psi_n = \Psi_n/\sqrt{\alpha\tau/b}$

$$-t_{GL} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + i\frac{2e}{\hbar}\phi_n \right) \psi_n + (1 - |\psi_n|^2)\psi_n - \epsilon(2\psi_n - \psi_{n+1} - \psi_{n-1}) = 0. \quad (6)$$

$\epsilon = r/\tau$ is coupling parameter, it characterizes a ratio of Josephson energy to energy of superconducting condensate. In the weak coupling limit $\epsilon \ll 1$, $|\psi_n| \approx 1$ introducing $\psi_n = e^{i\theta_n}$, $\Phi_n = \phi_n + \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{d\theta_n}{dt}$, $\varphi_n = \theta_{n+1} - \theta_n$ we obtain from (6) the expression for covariant potential Φ_n

$$t_{GL} \frac{2e}{\hbar} \Phi_n = \epsilon(\sin \varphi_n - \sin \varphi_{n-1}), \quad (7)$$

where $t_{GL} = t_0/\tau$, $t_0 = (\gamma\hbar)/(\alpha d)$ is characteristic relaxation time and can be found to be (at $|\psi| = \text{const}$) [15]

$$t_0 = \frac{\pi\hbar}{8T_c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Gamma_0^2\tau}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \sqrt{2/7\zeta(3)}\pi\hbar^{-1}T_c t_\epsilon$. Note, that this expression follows from time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation derived in Ref. [15] from microscopic theory in the so-called local equilibrium approximation, and this equation coincides with our model equation in weak disequilibrium and “discrete” case.

Expression for superconducting current can be obtained from variation principle (in 3D form) and full current between the n -th and $n+1$ -th layers is sum of supercurrent, normal current taken in simplest ohmic form and capacity current due to polarization of interlayer space

$$J_{n,n+1} = -\frac{i}{2}J_c(\psi_{n+1}\psi_n^* - \psi_{n+1}^*\psi_n) - \frac{(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)}{R} - C\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n) = \text{const}, \quad (9)$$

here $J_c = J_0\tau$, $R_{n,n+1}$, C are the dimensional parameters. We neglect self charge of superconducting layers (which is in general small due to quasineutrality) and thus one-dimensional current is conserved (possible peculiarities in dynamics of “quantum” structures with d_0 compared with Debye length will be considered in other paper, see also Ref. [14]). We introduce random resistivity $R_{n,n+1} = R_0/\delta_{n,n+1}$ to account the effects of nonidentical junction parameters in simplest way. Using (2) which is direct consequence of Φ_n definition we obtain from (9)

$$\omega_p^{-2}\frac{d^2\varphi_n}{dt^2} + \omega_c^{-1}\delta_n\frac{d\varphi_n}{dt} + \sin\varphi_n - \omega_c^{-1}\frac{2e}{\hbar}(\Phi_{n+1} - \Phi_n) - \omega_p^{-2}\frac{2e}{\hbar}\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi_{n+1} - \Phi_n) = j, \quad (10)$$

where $\omega_p^2 = (2eJ_c)/(\hbar C)$, $\omega_c = (2eR_0J_c)/\hbar$, $j = J/J_c$. As a result we have a set of coupled equations (7), (10) describing Josephson effect and disequilibrium in self-consistent manner. This equations are in fact more general than underlying time-dependent Lowrence-Doniach equation (6). Eq. (7) demonstrates well known result $\Phi \propto \text{div}\mathbf{j}_s$ and can be derived at any temperature. Eq. (10) is a sequence of basic nonequilibrium Josephson relation (2)

and expression for current between layers (9). Thus we think that proposed in this paper macroscopic model is a good basis for describing nonequilibrium Josephson effect in layered superconductors.

Equations (7), (10) are equivalent to a set of coupled Josephson equations for φ_n with additional interaction terms

$$\beta \frac{d^2 \varphi_n}{dt'^2} + \delta_n \frac{d\varphi_n}{dt'} + \sin \varphi_n + f\{\varphi\} = j, \quad (11)$$

$$f\{\varphi\} = \eta(2 \sin \varphi_n - \sin \varphi_{n+1} - \sin \varphi_{n-1}) + \beta\eta(2 \cos \varphi_n \frac{d\varphi_n}{dt'} - \cos \varphi_{n+1} \frac{d\varphi_{n+1}}{dt'} - \cos \varphi_{n-1} \frac{d\varphi_{n-1}}{dt'}). \quad (12)$$

$\beta = \omega_c^2/\omega_p^2$, $t' = \omega_c t$, η is the novel parameter of nonequilibrium coupling

$$\eta = \frac{\epsilon}{\omega_c t_{GL}} = \frac{r}{\omega_{c0} t_0 \tau} = \frac{8T_c r \sqrt{1 + \Gamma_0^2 \tau}}{\pi \hbar \omega_{c0} \tau}. \quad (13)$$

Let us estimate η for some real structures. First of all Γ_0^2 is usually large as $(T_D/T)^4$, T_D is Debye temperature

$$t_\epsilon \sim \frac{\hbar}{T} \left(\frac{T_D}{T} \right)^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \Gamma_0 \sim \left(\frac{T_D}{T_c} \right)^2 \sim \begin{cases} 10^3 & \text{Low } T_c \text{ SC } \Gamma_0^2 \sim 10^6! \\ 10 & \text{HTSC } \Gamma_0^2 \sim 100 \end{cases}$$

And for η we obtain

$$\eta = \frac{8T_c r \Gamma_0}{\pi \hbar \omega_{c0} \sqrt{\tau}}. \quad (14)$$

We find that $\eta\sqrt{\tau} \sim 1$ for HTSC at $r \sim 10^{-3}$, $\omega_{c0} \sim 10^{12}$ rad/sec and for $Nb - AlO_x - Nb$ structures at $d_0 \sim 100 \text{\AA}$, $R_N S \sim 10^{-8} \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ (R_N - resistivity, S - area of Josephson junction). Thus we see that weak disequilibrium can lead to strong coupling between interlayer Josephson junctions and to collective dynamic behavior in a case of weak coupling between layers ($\epsilon \ll 1$). In a case of nonidentical junctions this lead to synchronization. Simulations show that at $\eta > 1$ and $\beta\eta < 1$ coherent dynamic state with $\dot{\varphi}_n(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t)$ is single and stable. Full investigation of this problem is a subject of future work.

Presented theory is able to explain recent experiments [3] on resistivity (at current perpendicular to the layers) of BiSrCaCuO and PbBiSrCaCuO superconductors. In Bi-compound many hysteresises on voltage – current characteristic are observed due to one by

one switching of interlayer junctions and in PbBi-compound all junctions are switched to resistive state simultaneously. The estimation of parameter η for this samples gives $\eta \sim 0.1 \div 1$ for the first of them and $\eta \sim 0.5 \div 5$ for the second one. Thus collective switching may be induced by nonequilibrium coupling.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant No. 93-02-14718 and by a fellowship of INTAS Grant No. 93-2492 and is carried out within the research program of International Center for Fundamental Physics in Moscow. The author thanks Prof. A. A. Andronov, Dr. V. V. Kurin and Dr. A. S. Mel'nikov for useful and stimulated discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Kohlstedt, G. Hallmanns, I. P. Nevirkovets, D. Guggi, and C. Heiden, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. **AS-3**, 2117 (1993); I. P. Nevirkovets, H. Kohlstedt, G. Hallmanns, and C. Heiden, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **6**, 146 (1993); A. V. Ustinov, H. Kohlstedt, M. Cirillo, N. F. Pedersen, G. Hallmanns, and C. Heiden, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 10614 (1993).
- [2] R. Kleiner and P. Müller, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 1327 (1994).
- [3] R. Kleiner, P. Müller, H. Kohlstedt, N. Pedersen, and S. Sakai, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 3942 (1994)
- [4] S. Sakai, P. Bodin, and N. F. Pedersen, J. Appl. Phys. **73**, 2411 (1993).
- [5] *Nonequilibrium Superconductivity*, edited by D. N. Langenberg and A. I. Larkin, Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Science Vol. 12 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986).
- [6] A. M. Gulyan and G. F. Zharkov, *Superconductors in external fields (nonequilibrium phenomena)* (Moscow, 1990, in russian).
- [7] S. N. Artemenko and A. F. Volkov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **128**, 3 (1979).
- [8] A. M. Gulian and G. F. Zharkov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz **89**, 156 (1985).
- [9] W. E. Lawrence and S. Doniach, in Proceedings of the 12th Int. Conf. on Low-Temperature Physics, Kyoto 1970, p. 361.
- [10] L. N. Bulaevskii, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **116**, 449 (1975) [Sov. Phys. Uspekhi **18**, 193 (1975)].
- [11] L. N. Bulaevskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **64**, 2241 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, 1133 (1973)].
- [12] L. N. Bulaevskii, Adv. Phys. **37**, 443 (1988); Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 1849 (1990).
- [13] R. A. Klemm, M. R. Beasley, and A. Luther, J. Low Temp. Phys. **16**, 607 (1974)
- [14] L. N. Bulaevskii, M. Zamora, D. Baeriswyl, H. Beck, and J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B **50**,

12831 (1994)

- [15] R. J. Watts-Tobin and L. Kramer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 1041 (1978).